

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.  
**OA 227 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Gurpreet Singh** ..... **Applicant**

(By Lt Col Dev Raj (Retd), Advocate for  
Lt Col SS Cheema (Retd), Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Lokesh Chander Aggarwal, Sr PC)

-.  
**ORDER**

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for grant of War Injury Pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Mr Lokesh Chander Aggarwal, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, within two weeks' thereafter.

Adjourned to **26.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.-

**OA 229 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Sombir**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

.....

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

(By N.C. Nahata, Sr PC )

.....

**Respondents**

-.-

**ORDER**

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of '*Civil Appeal No 164 of 1993 titled Ex Sapper Mohinder Singh & Ors Vs. Union of India & Ors*', decided on 14.01.1993, hence, he is entitled for the grant of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Mr NC Nahata, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, within two weeks' thereafter.

Adjourned to **26.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**

**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**

**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 230 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Sanjay Kumar** ..... **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By VK Chaudhary, Sr PC)

**ORDER**

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, submitting that his case is squarely with the judgment passed in **Civil Appeal No. 5605 of 2010, Sukhwinder Singh Vs. UOI and others decided on 25.06.2014**, hence, he is entitled for the grant of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Mr VK Chaudhary, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, within two weeks' thereafter.

Adjourned to **26.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**OA 238 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Rajesh Kumar**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Roopan Atwal, Advocate for  
Navdeep Singh, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Rajni Narula, Sr pC)

--

**ORDER**

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of "**Dharamvir Singh Vs UOI & others (2013) 7SCC 316, dated 02.07.2013**", hence, he is entitled for the grant of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Ms Rajni Narula, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, within two weeks' thereafter.

Adjourned to **26.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**TA 04 of 2024 (arising out of CWP No. 24 of 2002)**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Issack Raj**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Sandeep Bansal, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Rajesh Kaul, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

This case has been received from Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court.

List on **28.08.2024** for hearing.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-:-  
MA 413 & 414 of 2024 in OA 1039 of 2022

Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Union of India and others** ..... **Applicants**

(By Mukesh Mehra, CGC, through VC )

**Versus**

**Surinder Singh** ..... **Non-applicant**

(By None )

-:-

**ORDER**

**MA 413 & 414 of 2024**

MA 414 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. 1039 of 2022 making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, MA 413 of 2024 for condonation of 305 days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on 16.03.2023 and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on 15.02.2024. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

*“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.*

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **305** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **16.03.2023** was on the basis of judgment passed by Hon’ble Apex Court in case titled as ‘**Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India and Others**’ (2013) 7SCC 316 and **Civil Appeal 418/2012, Union of India vs. Ram Avtar**, decided on 10.12.2014. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **16.03.2023** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA **414 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)

06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024

‘sp’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA 417 & 418 of 2024 in OA 152 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Applicants**

(By AK Jund, Sr PC)

**Versus**

**Darshan Singh**

.....

**Non-applicant**

(By )

--

**ORDER**

**MA 417 & 418 of 2024**

MA 418 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. 152 of 2021 making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, MA 417 of 2024 for condonation of 428 days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on 15.11.2022 and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on 16.02.2024. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

***“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.***



(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **428** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **15.11.2022** was on the basis of judgment passed by Hon’ble Apex Court in case titled as **Civil Appeal No. 5605 of 2010, Sukhwinder Singh Vs. UOI and others**, decided on 25.06.2014. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **15.11.2022** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA **418 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
Member (J)

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

‘sp’

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 426 of 2024 in OA 1628 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Kaka Ram**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Surinder Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Sarika Gupta, CGC, through VC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Ms Sarika Gupta, learned Central Government Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. She prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**

**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**

**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 427 of 2024 in OA 1521 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Sukhdev Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr Amit Sheoran, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 428 of 2024 in OA 777 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Jagdish Prasad Sharma** ..... **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Satinder Singh, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has submitted that LTA has been filed in this case which is listed for **15<sup>th</sup> May, 2024**, hence this case may also be listed on that day. Ordered accordingly.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**  
'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 429 of 2024 in OA 3561 of 2018**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Pala Ram**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Rohit Verma, Sr PC, through VC )

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr Rohit Verma, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 430 of 2024 in OA 1685 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Rajbir Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Ashok Kanwal, CGC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr Ashok Kanwal, learned Central Government Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**

**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**

**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 431 of 2024 in OA 1516 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Mahavir**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By AK Sharma, Sr PC, through VC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr AK Sharma, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 432 of 2024 in OA 551 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Smt Santra Devi**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Surinder Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By VK Chaudhary, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr V.K. Chaudhary, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**

**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**

**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'



**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 433 of 2024 in OA 157 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Krishan Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Satyawan Ahlawat, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice

Mr Satyawan Ahlawat, learned Senior Panel Counsel appears and accepts service of notice on behalf of the Union of India. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to have instructions as to why the order sought to be executed has not been implemented as yet.

Compliance of order under execution be made by the date fixed.

List on **29.08.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 1933 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Col Yudhvir Singh (Retd) ..... Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others ..... Respondents**

(By Rubinia Sharma, CGC, through VC)

**ORDER**

Reply still not filed.

Learned counsel for the Union of India seeks more time to file the reply.

The OA relates to the year 2022. Notice was issued for the first time on 03<sup>rd</sup> January, 2023.

In the interest of justice we adjourn the case to **08.07.2024**.

It is made clear that this shall be the last opportunity for filing the reply.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 1208 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Pratap Singh** ..... **Applicant**

(By Lt Col Dev Raj (Retd), Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By DS Dadwal, CGC)

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that reply in this case was filed with the registry during the last week but there were certain objections and after removal of the objections, he shall file the same again by next date of hearing.

Let the needful be done with copy in advance to the counsel for the applicant .

List on **07.05.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.  
**OA 1213 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Dilbag Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Parveen, Advocate for  
Jai Singh, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Vaibhav Parashar, Sr PC)

-.  
**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that the reply is ready and shall be filed within a week's time. Needful be done with copy in advance to the opposite counsel.

List on **07.05.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**  
'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA 2051 & 2052 of 2023 in OA 458 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Applicants**

(By Rajesh Kaul, Sr PC )

**Versus**

**Sucha Singh**

.....

**Non-applicant**

(By None)

--

**ORDER**

**MA 2051 & 2052 of 2023**

**MA 2052 of 2023** is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. **458 of 2021** making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, **MA 2051 of 2023** for condonation of **771** days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on -- and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on --. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

***“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.***

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time."*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of 771 days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on ---- was on the basis of judgment passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled as '**Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India and Others**' (2013) 7SCC 316 and **Civil Appeal 418/2012, Union of India vs. Ram Avtar**, decided on 10.12.2014. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated ----- passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA 2052 of 2023, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)

06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024

'sp'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR

-:-  
MA 2090 & 2091 of 2023 in OA 1186 of 2020

Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others ..... Applicants

(By Rubinia Sharma, CGC, through VC )

Versus

Kashmir Singh ..... Non-applicant

(By None)

-:-  
ORDER

MA 2090 & 2091 of 2023

MA 2091 of 2023 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. 1186 of 2020 making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, MA 2090 of 2023 for condonation of 929 days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on 30.03.2021 and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on 28.10.2023. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

*"Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.*

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **929** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **30.03.2021** was simply a direction to the respondents for holding RSMB of the applicant. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **30.03.2021** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA **2091 of 2023**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

‘sp’

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No



**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 19 of 2022 in OA 2049 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ram Phal**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By PK Sharma, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that PPO has been issued in this case, in terms of the orders passed by the Tribunal, rendering the present application infructuous. A copy of the PPO is placed on the file of the case.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA 203 of 2023 and MA (E) 898 of 2020 in TA 04 of 2019 (CWP 17381 of  
1994)**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Gurbax Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Jagjit Singh, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Vaibhav Parashar, Sr.P.C.)

--

**ORDER**

In this case PPO has been issued and relief claimed has already been granted rendering the application as infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 545 of 2022 in OA 778 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ashok Kumar**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Varsha Gahlawat, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel appearing for Union of India seeks some more time for implementation of order.

Compliance of the order under execution be made by next date.

List on 07.05.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 660 of 2022 in OA 3165 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Satyabir ..... Applicant**

(By Parveen. Adv.Proxy counsel for Jai Singh, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others ..... Respondents**

(By Vikas Sharma, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel appearing for Union of India seeks some more time for implementation of order.

Compliance of the order under execution be made by next date.

List on 16.05.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

**MA (E) 672 of 2022 in OA 1552 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Smt Suti Devi**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Sangeeta Dubey, Sr PC)

~.-

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that PPO has been issued in this case, in terms of the orders passed by the Tribunal, rendering the present application in fructuous. A copy of the PPO be placed on the file of the case.

Disposed of as having been rendered in fructuous.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

‘sp’

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA (E) 70 of 2020 in OA 1862 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ram Phal Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By VK Chaudhary Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for applicant submits that similar matters of HNS are fixed on 17.04.2024.

List on 17.04.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--  
**OA 931 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Onkar** ..... **Applicant**

(By Abhimanyu Sharma, Advocate)

**Versus**  
**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By KK Yogi CGC)

--  
**ORDER**

At the very outset, learned counsel representing the applicant has submitted at Bar that he has no objection if the arrears of disability pension claim is restricted to three years preceding the date of institution of this Original Application i.e. 04.07.2022 thus he shall be entitled to disability pension w.e.f. 01.12.2007.

2. Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

3. Applicant - **Onkar**, has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, for grant of disability pension @ 50% with the benefit of rounding off to 75% for life w.e.f. 01.12.2007 along with all consequential benefits and for setting aside the impugned orders issued vide letter dated 01.12.2010.

4. The facts in nutshell are that the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army service on 02.11.1983 in a fit state of health and discharged from service on 30.11.2007 in the rank of Havildar in medical category (P-2) after rendering 24 years and 29 days of service. During the course of his service, he was also found to be suffering from the

disease as (i)“**RIGHT CYSTIC RENAL CELL CARCINOMA (OPDT)**” and held the same to be neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.

5. The applicant claim for disability element of pension was not allowed as his disability was held to be NANA. He filed 2nd appeal for grant of disability pension but the same was rejected vide **Ann.A-4** on the ground that disease occurred in peace station (Mumbai). As such, the claim of the applicant for the grant of disability pension is stated to be illegally ignored hence, this application for redressal of his grievance.

6. Learned counsel representing Union of India has taken the plea that the disability of the applicant held neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service, hence his case for the grant of disability element of pension has rightly been rejected.

7. Learned counsel representing the applicant during the course of arguments has submitted that the prayer made in this application is squarely covered in his favour by various judgements of Hon'ble Apex Court decision rendered in ***Dharamvir Singh Vs Union of India (2013) 7 SCC 316, Three Judge Bench decision*** in Civil Appeal 2337/2009 ***Union of India Vs Chander Pal*** decided on 18-09-2013, ***Union of India Vs Rajbir Singh*** (2015) 12 SCC 264, ***Union of India Vs Angad Singh Titaria*** (2015) 12 SCC 257, ***Union of India Vs Manjeet Singh*** (2015) 12 SCC 275, Civil Appeal 4409/2011 ***Ex Hav Mani Ram Bhaira Vs Union of India*** decided on 11-02-2016, Civil Appeal 1695/2016 ***Satwinder Singh Vs Union of India*** decided on 11-02-2016 and ***Ex Gnr.Laxmanram Poonia Vs***



*Union of India* (2017) 4 SCC 697. The Applicant further submits that his claim is also supported by the applicable rules.

8. We have considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel on both sides in the light of the law laid down by the Apex Court in *Dharamvir Singh Vs Union of India (Supra)* and the relevant rules. The relevant extract of the judgment reads as follow :-

*“Para 30...In the present case it is undisputed that no note of any disease has been recorded at the time of appellant's acceptance for military service. The respondents have failed to bring on record any document to suggest that the appellant was under treatment for such a disease or by hereditary he is suffering from such disease. In absence of any note in the service record at the time of acceptance of joining of appellant it was incumbent on the part of the Medical Board to call for records and look into the same before coming to an opinion that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for military service, but nothing is on the record to suggest that any such record was called for by the Medical Board or looked into it and no reasons have been recorded in writing to come to the conclusion that the disability is not due to military service...”*

*Para 32 ...In spite of the aforesaid provisions, the Pension Sanctioning Authority failed to notice that the Medical Board had not given any reason in support of its opinion, particularly when there is no note of such disease or disability available in the service record of the appellant at the time of acceptance for military service. Without going through the aforesaid facts the Pension Sanctioning Authority mechanically passed the impugned order of rejection based on the report of the Medical Board. As per Rules 5 and 9 of 'Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982', the appellant is entitled for presumption and benefit of presumption in his favour. In absence of any evidence on record to show that the appellant was suffering from "Generalised seizure (Epilepsy)" at the time of acceptance of his service, it will be presumed that the appellant was in sound physical and mental condition at the time of entering the service and deterioration in his health has taken place due to service...*

*Para 33...As per Rule 423(a) of General Rules for the purpose of determining a question whether the cause of a disability or death resulting from disease is or is not attributable to service, it is immaterial whether the cause giving rise to the disability or death occurred in an area declared to be a field service/active service area or under normal peace conditions. "Classification of diseases" have been prescribed at Chapter IV of Annexure I; under paragraph 4 post traumatic epilepsy and other mental changes resulting from head injuries have been shown as one of the diseases affected by training, marching, prolonged standing etc. Therefore, the presumption would be that the disability of the appellant bore a causal connection with the service conditions...”*

9. It is proved beyond all reasonable doubt that at the time the applicant entered into Army service, he was not suffering from any disease/disability.

10. Therefore, we are not satisfied with the opinion of the Medical Board that the disability incurred by the applicant is neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service, rendered by the Medical Board in its report for the reason that at the time of entry into Defence service, no such disease was in existence nor could be detected by the Medical Board which had conducted the medical examination at that time i.e. entry into Defence Service.

11. Considering the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and also the attending circumstances, the rejection of the claim of applicant for the grant of disability pension is neither legally nor factually sustainable. The applicant, therefore, is entitled to the grant of disability pension.

12. In view of the above, this application is accepted and the order under challenge are accordingly set aside and quashed. The applicant is held entitled to the grant of disability element of disability pension @ **50% as against 50% for life** from the day next to date of his discharge from service i.e 01.12.2007, after being rounded off in terms of the judgment of the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Civil Appeal No 418/2012 titled Union of India Vs Ram Avtar*** decided on 10.12.2014. The due and admissible arrears, are directed to be released in favour of the applicant within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to recover the interest @ 8% per annum till realization of entire amount.

13. Since the applicant has approached this Tribunal after a period of about 15 years of his discharge, therefore, arrears are restricted to three years preceding the date of filing the present Original Application, i.e. 04.07.2022.

14. Miscellaneous Application (s) pending, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

15. No order so as to costs.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

sby

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes

*Whether reportable* : Yes

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.  
**OA 1908 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Mohinder Pal** ..... **Applicant**

(By Abhimanyu Sharma, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Neeraj Sharma Sr PC ,through VC)

-.  
**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for both the parties adjourned to  
07.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 201 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Tara Chand** ..... **Applicant**

(By Budh Ram, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By KC Sahu, Sr PC)

**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice.

Mr. K.C.Sahu Sr. P.C. appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

Adjourned to 07.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 911 of 2019**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ex PO Naresh Kumar (since ..... Applicant**

**deceased) through Smt Sunita**

**Sharma, LRs**

**(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**.....**

**Respondents**

**(By Sonia Sharma, Sr PC)**

**ORDER**

On the last date of hearing, the Union of India has taken the plea that the applicant was already getting the payment which is due since 2016 and seeks some time to file affidavit in this regard, but today no affidavit has been filed. Even Reply has not been filed by Union of India.

Learned counsel for Union of India seeks some more time to file reply and affidavit.

The case of the applicant is for the grant of benefit of rounding off. The matter involving rounding off has been stayed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court as per order dated 22.12.2023 and for awaiting the decision in the matter, the case is adjourned to 09.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

**DK**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**OA 1328 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Sukhdev Singh** ..... **Applicant**

(By D.S. Jaswal , Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By KK Bheniwala, Sr PC)

**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

Learned counsel for Union of India seeks some more time for arguments.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**--  
OA 1617 of 2021**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Prithvi Raj ..... Applicant**

(By Sandeep Bansal, Advocate)

**Union of India and others ..... Respondents**

(By PK Sharma Sr PC)

**--  
ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned  
to 07.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK



**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

--

**OA 681 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Puran Singh**

.....

**Applicant**

(By None )

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By RK Syal, CGC through VC)

--

**ORDER**

No one appears from the applicant side.

Reply as well as Rejoinder filed by the parties are taken on record.

Adjourned to 31.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-  
**OA 1130 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Hari Ram** ..... **Applicant**

(By D.S. Jaswal, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By AS Thakur, Sr PC)

~.-  
**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned  
to 26.07.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**  
DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-  
**OA 1142 of 2022**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ram Kumar** ..... **Applicant**

(By Surajmul Kundu, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Savita Chaudhary, Sr PC)

~.-  
**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant has taken the plea that in reply Union of India has taken the stand that some Annexures have not been submitted by the applicant to Union of India. Needful be done by the next date.

Adjourned the matter for arguments on 26.07.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-  
**OA 1106 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ravinder Singh** ..... **Applicant**

(By Sarvesh Malik, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC)

~.-  
**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to  
08.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**  
DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--  
**OA 1143 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Vinod Kumar** ..... **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By PK Sharma, Sr PC)

--  
**ORDER**

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks short adjournment.

List on to 05.04.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-  
**OA 1152 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)  
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Ram Kanwar** ..... **Applicant**

(By Budh Ram, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others** ..... **Respondents**

(By Vikas Sharma, Sr PC)

~.-  
**ORDER**

The matter in controversy is for grant of invalid pension and the same controversy is pending before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court wherein stay order has already been issued.

In view of that, the case is adjourned to next date for awaiting of order from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR

--  
OA 1211 of 2023

Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**  
**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Col Bikram Singh Saini (Retd)                      .....**                      **Applicant**

(By Col BS Bajwa (Retd), Advocate)

**Union of India and others                      Versus                      Respondents**  
**.....**

(By Satinder Singh Sr PC)

--  
**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to  
08.08.2024 for arguments.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**  
DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.-  
**OA 1465 of 2023**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Mast Ram Sharma**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Abhimanyu Sharma, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Bhriagu Agnihotri, CGC through VC)

-.-

**ORDER**

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to  
27.03.2024 for arguments.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK



**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--  
**OA 200 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Om Prakash Yadav**

.....

**Applicant**

(By Budh Ram, Advocate)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Parikshit Singh, CGC through CGC)

--  
**ORDER**

Heard.

Notice.

Mr. Parikshit Singh, CGC. appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)**  
**Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH  
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

**MA 386 of 2024 and OA(Appeal) 203 of 2024**

**Wednesday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of Mar, 2024**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

**Amit Kumar through wife as ..... Applicant**

**Pairokar**

(By Arun Singla, Advocate through VC)

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

.....

**Respondents**

(By Tarun Gupta, Sr PC)

--

**ORDER**

Learned counsel for Union of India has taken the plea that similar matter was decided by the *Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi in OA No.665 of 2021 titled Dft Shatrughan Singh Tomar V/s Union of India and Others decided on 07.04.2021.*

Adjourned for arguments on 26.03.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)  
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)  
Member (J)**

**06<sup>th</sup> Mar, 2024**

DK